Comparative Study of Electoral Systems Description of Sample and Data Collection

I. Country: Mexico	
II. Type of Election (e.g. presidential; parliamentary; legislat	ive): Legislative
III. Date of Election: July 6 1997	
IV. Organization that Conducted the Survey Field Work:1) Berúmen y Asociados2) Consulta, S.A.	
V. Investigators Responsible for Data Collection	
Name: Affiliation: Address: Fax: Phone: E-mail: Name: Affiliation: Address: Fax: Phone: E-mail: Name: Affiliation: Address: Fax: Phone: E-mail:	
Name: Affiliation: Address: Fax: Phone: E-mail: Name: Affiliation: Address: Fax: Phone: E-mail: Name: Affiliation: Address: Fax: Phone: E-mail:	
VI. Study Design (check one)X_ Post-Election Study Pre-/Post-Election Panel Study	
VII. Dates of Interviewing Date Post-Election Interviewing Began: July 14 1997 Date Post-Election Interviewing Ended: July 29 1997	
(If Panel Study) Date Pre-Election Interviewing Began: Date Pre-Election Interviewing Ended:	
VIII. Mode of interview (check one) X_ In person, face-to-face Telephone Mail or self-completion supplement	
IX. Sample Design and Sampling Procedures	

1. Eligibility Requirements

	a) age: _X b) citizenship: Yes No c) other:
2.	Persons Excluded From the Sample Frame a) Were any regions of the country excluded from the sample frame? Yes No _X If yes, explain:
	 b) Were institutionalized persons excluded from the sample? Yes No _X c) Were military personnel excluded from the sample? Yes No _X d) (If telephone interview) Estimated percentage of households without a phone:% e) (If telephone interview) Were unlisted telephone numbers included in the population sampled? Yes No f) Other persons excluded from the sample frame: g) Estimated total (a+b+c+d+e+f) percentage of the eligible population excluded from the sample frame: %
3.	1 Sampling Method (if Face-to-Face) a) Describe how the primary sampling units were selected: The primary sampling units were the municipalities. The municipalities were chosen within each electoral strata using a sytematic method with probability proportional to its size.
	Were the primary sampling units randomly selected? Yes _X No b) Was there a second stage selection? Yes _X No c) Describe the method by which the second stage sampling units were selected: The second stage sampling units were the AGEBS (Basic Geo-statistical units) for urban cases, or the localities for rural cases. They were chosen randomly with probability proportional to their size. The measuring of the unit's size was the population over 18 years old.
	Were the secondary sampling units randomly selected? Yes _X No d) Was a selection table used to select the respondent within the household? Yes No _X If no, describe: The respondent selected within the household was the person over 18 years old, who's birthday was the most proximate to the date of the interview
	e) Under what circumstances was a sample line designated non-sample? (Check all that apply) _X All members of household are ineligible _X Housing unit is vacant _X No answer at housing unit _X Other, explain: Interview rejection

f) Were non-sample replacement methods used? YesX_ No	
If yes describe:	
A substitute unit was randomly chosen	
3.2 Sampling Method (if telephone)a) Describe how the sample was drawn	
 b) Was the sample a random digit dial sample? Yes No listed sample? Yes No dual frame? Yes No; (if dual frame) % list frame:; % random-digit dial: c) Was a selection table used to select the respondent within the household? Yes No If no, describe: d) Criteria for designating a sample line non-sample. (Check all that apply) All members of household ineligibles Non-residential phone No answer (if so), after how many calls to number? Non-working number Other, explain: 	
e) Were non-sample replacement methods used? Yes NoIf yes describe:	_
3.3 Sampling Method (if mail / self completion)a) Describe how the sample was drawn	
 b) Was the sample a listed sample? Yes No c) Was a selection table used to select the respondent within the household? Yes No If no, describe: d) Criteria for designating a sample line non-sample. (Check all that apply) All members of household ineligibles Housing unit is vacant Other, explain: 	
e) Were non-sample replacement methods used? Yes No If yes describe:	_
 4. Compliance a) Pre-Study Strategies: Prior to the study was a letter sent to respondent? Yes No _X payment sent to respondent? Yes No _X a token gift sent to respondent? Yes No _X any other incentives used? Yes No _X If yes, describe: b) During the Field Period Maximum number of contacts with the household before declarin it non-sample: _3 	g

Maximum number of contacts with the household before declaring it non-interview:_3
Maximum number of days over which a household was contacted: variable
Did interviewers vary the time of day at which they recontacted the household? Yes _X No c) Refusal Conversion
Was an effort made to persuade respondents who were reluctant to be interviewed? Yes _X No If No (go to Section X) Were respondents who were reluctant to be interviewed sent a letter persuading them to take part? Yes No _X Was payment offered to respondents who were reluctant to take part? Yes No _X Were respondents who were reluctant to take part turned over to
a more experienced interviewer? Yes No _X Maximum number of recontacts used to persuade respondent to be
<pre>interviewed:1 Other methods used to persuade respondents reluctant to be interviewed to take part:</pre>
a detailed explanation of the survey's objectives
X. Response Rate (to first wave if a panel study)
a) Total number of sample lines issued:2,230 b) Total number of completed interviews:2,033 c) Number of refusals:NR d) Number never contacted (no-contact):NR e) Other non-response:NR f) Number of lines of non-sample:NR g) Response Rate: (b/(a-f))*100:91.2
XI. Panel Attrition (Complete only if CSES questionnaire is administered as part of a 2-wave panel study)
 a) Total number of respondents in wave I of the study: b) Number of wave I respondents re-interviewed in wave containing CSES Module: c) Percent panel attrition ((a-b)/a)*100: d) Panel attrition by age:
Age % Reinterviewed 18-25 % 26-40 % 41-65 % 65 & over %
e) Panel attrition by education:
Education % Reinterviewed None %

Incomplete primary	%
Primary completed	%
Incomplete secondary	%
Secondary completed	%
University incomplete	%
University degree	%

XII. Sample Weight

- a) Are the data weighted? Yes _X__ No ___ If yes:
- b) Are the data weighted to compensate for disproportionate probability of selection at the person or household level? Yes ____ No _X__
- c) Are the data weighted to match known demographic characteristics of the population? Yes _X__ No ___
- d) Are the data weighted to correct for non-response? Yes _X__ No ___

Describe the procedure used to construct the sample weight:

The weight is the inverse of the probability of selection of the respondent, adjusted by the non-response rate, using quotas by sex and age

XIII. Description of interviewers (age, level of education, and years of experience):

Description of interviewer training:

XIV. Comparison of Sample to Population

	Sample	Sample Estimates				
Characteristic	Population Estimates	Unweighted	Weighted			
		_	-			
Age	INEGI (Count 1995)					
18-25	29.0%	25.9 %	29.1%			
26-40	37.2%	32.0 %	36.7 %			
41-66	27.6 %	31.1 %	28.4 %			
65 and over	6.2 %	6.0 %	5.8 %			
Education						
	INEGI (Count 1990)					
None	14.6 %	6.2 %	6.3 %			
Incomplete prima	ry 24.7 %	17.5 %	18.4 %			
Primary complete	ed 20.9 %	17.4 %	19.6 %			
Incomplete secondary 5.4% 5.7 % 4.8 %						
Secondary compl	eted 13.4%	16.8 %	18.7 %			
Post-secondary trade /						
vocational scho	ool 13.2 %	20.5 %	17.9 %			
Incomplete univer	rsity 3.3 %	7.4 %	6.6 %			
University degree	4.4 %	8.5 %	7.8 %			

Gender

INEGI (Count 1995) 48.3 % 51.7 % Male 44.3 % 47.9 % Female 55.7 % 52.1 %

XV. Languages used in the interviews. List: Spanish